A Bipedal Walking Pattern Generator that Considers **Multi-Body Dynamics by Angular Momentum Estimation**

Johannes Mayr, Hubert Gattringer, and Hartmut Bremer

Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Strasse 69, 4040 Linz, Austria {joh.mayr, hubert.gattringer, hartmut.bremer}@jku.at, +43 (732) 2468 - 6496

3

4

Our Solution: ① derivation of a model

② calculation of limb trajectories

change of angular momentum

calculation of center of mass trajectory updating estimation of the rate of

NN

Objectives:

- stable walking patterns
- real time algorithm \geq
- considering unilateral contacts \triangleright
- \geq considering multi-body dynamics

Challenges:

- high number of d.o.f. and complex structure
- \triangleright sufficiently fast calculation time
- limited contact forces due to unilateral contact \geq

(1)**Dynamical Model**

(3) **Center of Mass Trajectory** contact forces/torques depend on m $+ \begin{bmatrix} -mg\\ \mathbf{h}_{\omega_B}(\dot{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{q}_J)\\ \mathbf{h}_J(\dot{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{q}_J) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \mathbf{\tau}_J \end{bmatrix} + \sum_j \lambda_j \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_j & 0\\ \mathbf{J}_{\omega_B,j}^T\\ \mathbf{J}_{J,j}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_j\\ \mathbf{\tau}_j \end{bmatrix},$ $egin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \ & \mathbf{M}_{\omega_B,J}(\mathbf{q}_J) \ & \mathbf{M}_J(\mathbf{q}_J) \end{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_C \ & \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_B \ & \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_J \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{M}_{\omega_B}(\mathbf{q}_J) = \mathbf{M}_{\omega_B,J}(\mathbf{q}_J)$ center of mass trajectory 0 $\mathbf{M}_{\omega_{\mathcal{B}},J}^{^{T}}(\mathbf{q}_{J})$ rate of change of angular momentum (estimated from previous cycle) parameterized as uniform B-splines parameters chosen to $m(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_c - \mathbf{g}) = \sum \lambda_j \mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{f}_j$ minimize Euclidean norm of contact forces/torques satisfy constraints $\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{C} = \sum \lambda_{j} \mathbf{R}_{j} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{j} + \sum \lambda_{j} (\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{C}) \times \mathbf{R}_{j} \mathbf{f}_{j},$ walking $\downarrow g_z$ \checkmark paramters $I[\mathbf{p}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_k}^{t_k + ci} \sum_{i = \{x, y\}} \{\alpha_i \ddot{c}_i^2 + \beta_i (\tau_{c,i} - \tau_{c,i}^{ref})^2$ $\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{C} = \mathbf{R}_{B}(\mathbf{M}_{\omega_{B}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{B} + \mathbf{M}_{\omega_{B},J}\ddot{\mathbf{q}}_{J} + \mathbf{h}_{\omega_{B}})$ $\min_{\mathbf{p}} J[\mathbf{p}]$ $c_z(t)$ $c_x(t)$ rate of change of angular momentum $\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{C}^{est}(t) \Rightarrow$ s.t. g(p) = 0 $+ \gamma_i (c_i - p_i^{ref})^2 \} dt$ $c_y(t)$ objective function $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbf{0}$ $\tau_c^{ref}(t)$ > total contact forces/torques at arbitrary contact point constraints: Experiment: $(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_C - \mathbf{g})m$ CoM start constraints cubic uniform B-splines (19 control points) $(\mathbf{r}_C - \mathbf{r}_L) \times (\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_C - \mathbf{g})m + \dot{\mathbf{L}}_C$ CoM terminal constraints sliding window size 1.6s contact force constraints planning interval 0.1s (unilateral contact) më, fe_iy $f_{c,x}$ $m(\ddot{c}_{*}+q)$ $m(\ddot{c}_x+g)(c_y-l_y)-m\ddot{c}_yc_x+L$ $\dot{\mathbf{L}}_{C} = \dot{\mathbf{L}}_{C}^{est}$ $\tau_{c,x}$ 4 **Update Angular Momentum Estimation** $-m(\ddot{c}_x+g)(\ddot{c}_x-\ddot{l}_x)+m\ddot{c}_xc_x+\ddot{L}$ $\mathbf{g} = g \mathbf{e}_z$ Te,y $\lfloor m \tilde{c}_y(c_x - l_x) - m \ddot{c}_x(c_y - l_y) + \dot{L}_x^{\tilde{est}}$ $\mathbf{e}_z \cdot \mathbf{r}_i = const$ $\tau_{c,z}$ estimation for next cycle by using: ≻ walking pattern from current planning cycle Limb Trajectories inverse kinematics (Newton method) dvnamical model from ① feet, arms and head trajectories for n nodes in the sliding window \geq upper-body orientation interpolated by uniform B-splines generated according to the walking parameters choice of nodes: n=0 → 3D-LIPM [2] i. Experiment: ii. uniformly distributed upper-body is kept upright iii. concentrated at the beginning arms kept at constant position Experiment: ۶ feet are kept parallel to the floor ≻ linear uniform B-splines (17 control points) 5th order polynomials **Experiments: Results and Conclusion** E1: 3D-LIPM (n=0) [2] 3D-LIPM with 2nd iteration [3] E2: proposed scheme with uniformly distributed nodes (n=16) algorithm inputs: E3:

- · footstep locations
- walking parameters
- reference torques

algorithm outputs:

foot trajectory

- proposed scheme with nodes concentrated at the beginning (n=8) E4:
- Calculation times: (on an Intel i5-2500, 3.3 GHz, RTAI 3.81)

estimation (ES): 150us per node*



Comparison:

Delay ZMP error in mm RMS v MAX x MA ES QF in s calls center of mass trajectory 63.6 16 0 23.2 5.9 upper body orientation 45 16. 14.6 1.6 ground reaction forces/torques 16 0 12.8

[1] Wieber, Holonomy and nonholonomy in the dynamics of articulated motion, Fast Motions in Biomechanics and Robotics, Springer, 2005 [2] Kajita et al., The 3D linear inverted pendulum mode: A simple modeling for a biped walking pattern generation, IROS 2001
[3] Kajita et al., Biped walking pattern generation by using preview control of zero-moment point, ICRA 2003

sumptions are made for the sake of clarity and are not mandatory. ** Solves the complete inverse dynamics and can be improved significantly







E1 E3 0.8 1.6 2.4 3 E2 E4 0 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2 4 4.8 0 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2 4 4.8 time in s CoM position. Support of the present work in the framework of the

peer-reviewed Austrian Center of Competence in Mechatronics (ACCM) is gratefully acknowledged.

JOHANNES KEPLER | JKU